

TEMPO TREE EVALUATIONS – TPO 360/2022

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 04.10.22 Surveyor: MATTHEW STUBBINGS

Tree details
 TPO Ref (if applicable):
 Owner (if known):

Tree/Group No. Location: TL - see G1 Species: HORSE CHESTNUT

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

5) Good	Highly suitable	Score & Notes 5
3) Fair/satisfactory	Suitable	
1) Poor	Unlikely to be suitable	
0) Dead/dying/dangerous*	Unsuitable	
* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only		

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

5) 100+	Highly suitable	Score & Notes 4
4) 40-100	Very suitable	
2) 20-40	Suitable	
1) 10-20	Just suitable	
0) <10*	Unsuitable	

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO
 Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees	Highly suitable	Score & Notes 3
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public	Suitable	
3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only	Suitable	
2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty	Barely suitable	
1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size	Probably unsuitable	

d) Other factors
 Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees	Score & Notes 2 ATTRACTIVE OPEN ROUNDED CROWN IN GOOD FORM
4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion	
3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance	
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual	
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)	

-1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Part 2: Expediency assessment
 Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice	Score & Notes 5
3) Foreseeable threat to tree	
2) Perceived threat to tree	
1) Precautionary only	

Part 3: Decision guide

Any 0	Do not apply TPO	Add Scores for Total: 19	Decision: DEFINITELY MERITS TPO
1-6	TPO indefensible		
7-11	Does not merit TPO		
12-15	TPO defensible		
16+	Definitely merits TPO		

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 04.10.22 Surveyor: MATTHEW STUBBS

Tree details
 TPO Ref (if applicable):
 Owner (if known):
 Tree/Group No: E1 Species: SCOTSPINE (11 NO)
 Location: land to rear of 25-31 The Ridings and land

Raised boundary on Pinewood
REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS
within The Spinney,
3 Pinewood, Norton,
Malton, N. Yorks,
YO17 9TT.

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

Score & Notes
3

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10* Unsuitable

* includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

Score & Notes
2

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes
3

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Score & Notes
1

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

Score & Notes
5

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-11 Does not merit TPO
- 12-15 TPO defensible
- 16+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:
14

Decision:
TPO DEFENSIBLE